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**Joe Jennings** (moderator): Hello, everybody! My name is Joe Jennings. Welcome everybody to this special online event with LaRouche independent candidate Joel Dejean for Congress campaign. We have named this forum, which may be the first of many, “Bury the Global Green Deal. Let’s Light Up the World! There’s a task, there’s a mission, and there’s a positive future, if we accomplish the mission we have set out for ourselves.

Just a little bit about Joel and his campaign. I am speaking to you from Houston. I understand that there are some people with us here tonight from outside of the District, and even from outside Houston. I’m very proud to live in Houston, even though I’m not a native of Texas. Those of us who remember—and we actually did commemorate this eight days ago—Moon Day. It was that breath-taking day in July of 1969, July 20, when the whole world watched Neil Armstrong and Buzz Aldrin brought that tiny little capsule down from the orbiter, and Neil Armstrong piloted that craft down to the surface of the Moon. There was nothing automatic about it. But, at the point of that triumphant accomplishment, Neil Armstrong said to the world, “Houston, the Eagle has landed.”

And so, that is one of the attributes of Houston. We are known as Space City. We’re also the Energy City, and the use of hydrocarbon energy has been, of course, part of mankind’s existence since the discovery of fire in the primordial days, up to the present, and that particularly germane to the District in which Joel Dejean is running. We’re also a city with a fabulous medical center and a port which is the biggest in the United States, in terms of the tonnage that passes through it. So, Houston could be the gateway to the world.

Of course, people *are* moving to Texas, while other states are diminishing their populations. In the 2020 Census, Texas picked up 2 congressional districts, one by the state capital Austin, and the one here in Harris County (Houston), the 38th District, for which Joel is contending. I will tell you, Joel is on the ballot! I personally, and my friends sweated it out during the month of June to get the signatures required, and we got well over the 500 that the state requires to place Joel on the ballot as an independent candidate.

And so, we have a District which includes the entirety of what we call the “energy corridor” here in Houston, which is corporate headquarters for BP, ConocoPhillips. There are installations for National Oil, Barco (?), and other petroleum- and gas-related industries, as well as the machine tools and other components. Siemens has an office in the District, which stretches north through Jersey Village, the suburbs of Cypress, Klein, and Champion Forest, up to Tomball.

But it’s a brand-new District with no incumbent. And so, you think with all that, that the future would be bright, but there’s an uncertainty here. When you look around Houston, it’s normal to see homeless people at the stoplights, tents here and there. Not as bad as other cities. There’s another famous phrase from another Apollo mission: “Houston, we have a problem.”

I will conclude this introduction by pointing to a headline that appeared Page 1 in the *Houston Chronicle* July 22, “Gulf May Get a Massive Wind Farm.” We’re going to talk about that tonight, but let me just state at the start that Joel Dejean is going to speak with an opening statement, followed by our very special guest, Dr. Neil Frank, who I will introduce, and then we’ll come back to Joel. We welcome your questions. If you have questions and you are watching this via Facebook steaming, you can type them into the chat. If you are accessing this otherwise, you can send your questions to Joel’s email address, and we’ll consider them one at a time. The email is [joeldejeanforcongress@gmail.com](mailto:joeldejeanforcongress@gmail.com).

With that, let’s get started. Welcome, Joel, Welcome Dr. Frank!

Joel, why don’t you situate. Why did you run for Congress as an independent? What do you expect to accomplish in this campaign, particularly concerning the topic, “Bury the Green New Deal. Let’s Light Up the World”? Take it away, Joel. Thanks.

**Joel Dejean:** Thank you, Joe. Thank you, Dr. Frank, for joining us,

On February 9, 2021, Joe Jennings and I testified to the Houston City Council and the Mayor of Houston, Sylvester Turner, on their climate action plan, their adoption and promotion of the Green New Deal, renewable energy, and the Great Reset. We even provided them copies of a special report from The LaRouche Organization, titled “Crush the Green New Deal.”[[1]](#footnote-1) The Green New Deal and the Great Reset is a great leap backward.

Our testimony generated no questions from the Council or Mayor. One week later, on Feb. 15, Houston and the whole state of Texas entered into a deep freeze. It was 16ᵒ F in Houston, 9ᵒ F in Dallas, colder in the northern Panhandle area, including Lubbock.

The Electric Reliability Council of Texas (ERCOT) warned us that we may have rolling blackouts because they estimated that the 30 GW of wind power capacity they have installed, mostly in West Texas over the last 20 years, would only be able to produce 10% of that capacity, or less than 3GW. Factoring that in, they told us not to worry, because they had backup natural gas generators. Everything was under control and that there may just have a few rolling blackouts for, I think, of 40 minutes or so.

They assured us that

Well, we did not have rolling blackouts. We had a shutdown of the entire grid! 90% of the 30 million residents of Texas depend on the grid controlled by ERCOT. We came within *minutes* of a *total collapse*, which would have taken weeks, if not months, to restart. They told us that they had to shut down the system. Otherwise, it would have totally collapsed. As a result, we were left in the dark, freezing for 48-72 hours.

I’ve seen different estimated, but up to 1,000 Texans lost their lives, either from freezing, carbon monoxide—because people brought their generators and their cookers close to their living quarters. We had veterans who ran out of oxygen. One, in particular, went to his pickup truck to get a spare oxygen tank, and never made it back into his house. This was a total disaster.

You would assume that Joe and I would have received calls from the Houston City Council and Mayor saying, “You were right. LaRouche was right. Come down and talk to us for half an hour or an hour on what we should do.” Well, we didn’t get any such calls. In fact, Mayor Sylvester Turner went on national TV the following Sunday, “Face the Nation.” He de-faced the nation, by saying “See, the Texas freeze *proves* that global climate change is real.”

I don’t know why he keeps attacking Israel, but he keeps saying, “Oh, climate change “Is-real.” Not only that, but a couple months later, in April and then in May, a delegation from the Houston LaRouche movement went up to Austin, the state capital, to organize the state legislature, which meets every other year. We went there to organize them to re-regulate the electric power grid, get rid of the windmills, stick with fossil fuels, transition to small modular nuclear reactors (SMRs), and fund things like fusion research.

Apparently, they were too busy discussing how to prevent transgenders from playing in girls’ sports, so they did very little in the way of proposing any changes to the electric grid.

This summer, we received urgent emails, again from ERCOT, saying “Because of a lack of production, [again, from the windmills, as their 30GW capacity was producing less than3 GSW] set your air conditioning thermostat to above 78ᵒ F. Do not run any major appliances, and hunker down. This was for the last two weeks.

It was during that time (back in December 0f 2021), I decided to run for this new seat in the 39th District. Last April, my campaign went to the Baker Institute at Rice University, named after the former Secretary of State James Baker III, who is the leading endorser of my Republic opponent in the 38th District, Wesley Hunt. James Baker, along with George Schultz, when he was alive, were big pushers of a carbon tax and decarbonizing the economy. They just wanted to do it a little slower than the Democrats.

At that meeting, a climate professor, Professor Daniel Cohan, was introducing his new book on “climate gridlock.” He was getting questions from, Chris Tomlinson, the lead business writer for the *Houston Chronicle* newspaper. Total softball questions. I managed to get a couple questions in at the end. I told him I was running as an Independent in the new District, which contains within it what is known as the “energy corridor.”

I also told him I was born in the country which has the lowest carbon footprint in the entire Western Hemisphere, namely, Haiti. Seventy-five percent of the power in Haiti is generated by burning charcoal, and that half the population there has no access to electricity. I asked the professor, “What would happen to non-developed countries, like Haiti, if we decarbonized and if we jack up the prices of fossil fuels?”

He was sympathetic. He said, “Oh, we wouldn’t force Haiti to decarbonize. We would just urge Haiti to leapfrog into renewables.” He used as an example, Africa, leapfrogging over land-line telephones, skipping the land-line phone system, and going straight to cell phones. Well, it just so happens that the other country in the world that gets an even greater percentage of its power from charcoal power than does Haiti, is the Democratic Republic of the Congo, which gets 90% of its power from charcoal. I ask, “Where are all the tree lovers?”

The DRC happens to be situated on the Congo River for which there have been plans over the last decades to build 40GW of hydro-electric power production. The DRC could be electrified, literally, in the coming years.

We went after this Professor Cohan. He kept pushing the line that we have to decarbonize. So, part of my campaign, is going after this ridiculous push for so-called “renewable energy.” As Joe mentioned earlier, the land-based windmills have totally failed. They have repeatedly failed. Every time demand for power peaks, they put out less than 10% of their rated capacity. So, again, they are a total failure. We have spent billions of dollars on them. They cost about $3 million each. We built, as I said, about 11,000 of them in West Texas.

Well, now, the Biden administration has this great idea, “Well, we’ll just build them offshore.” They have a plan to have an almost 1,000 square miles grid of offshore windmills, and these windmills will be even bigger than the land-based ones. Some of them are rated at 12 MW. But, they admit, if they have an approaching hurricane, for instance, the windmills will have to be feathered. In other words, zero power during a storm.

I have to ask myself, “Why has this been pushed?” It’s been pushed by Republicans in the state of Texas: George W. Bush, Enron, Rick Perry. Greg Abbott had the bright idea to say, “Well, since these windmills spin mostly at night, we’ll just invite Bitcoin miners to run their computers in West Texas.

I have to ask myself repeatedly, “This is such a failure. Why has it been promoted and pushed?” I got a bit of an answer on that a couple weeks ago. I was listening to a radio interview with a University of Texas at Austin journalism professor, who is now retired. He’s a professor emeritus: Robert Jensen. I’ve heard him before. He’s one of these “deep ecology” crazies. He said, flat out to his interviewer, “We have to be honest with people.”

First of all, he accepts all the nonsense about CO2, and that we have to cut use completely of fossil fuels. He said to the interviewer, “We have to tell people, ‘Look, we cannot sustain 8 billion people on this planet with renewable energy. Wind, solar, energy storage will not cut it. We can only sustain 4-6 billion people with renewable energy. We’re going to have to give up flying jets. We’re going to give up jet travel. We’re going to have to give up inner city travel. We’re going to have to live in self-contained villages and we’ll have to wallow in our circumstance.’” He did not give a list of who the two billion plus that he’s going to get rid of.

This is what’s behind the Green New Deal, renewable energy. This is coming from Prince Charles on down, and it’s promoted by lawyers, journalist, professors in political science, who don’t know the difference between a kilowatt, a kilojoule, or a killer whale. These guys are completely ignorant. A freshman electrical engineering student would be able to explain to them the necessity to balance supply and demand in the electric grid. So, this is not a mistake. This is a deliberate policy.

All we have to do is go to back to the ideas that actually built this country. Besides the Apollo program, look at the reaction to the spectacular images coming from the James Webb Space Telescope, which took 25 years to put up in space. It involved 20,000 scientists and engineers of 14 countries—a real collaborative effort. It’s a spectacular success. Look at the images. We have literally trillions of galaxies, each one with over 100 billion stars. Each one of these stars is a fusion reactor. So, who could say, unless they had their heads up their ass so far they can’t see light, that there’s a limit to growth; that we have to live on this small planet and just deal with the resources we have, here. We have infinite resources! The biggest resource we have is our creative intelligence.

So, if you look at the potential, we have to crack this idea of renewable energy. It’s not “net zero;” its “net negative.” We have to continue to use fossil fuels, gas turbines, bring back the coal plants, oil. Any transition has to go toward a higher energy flux-density, like with small modular nuclear reactors, and eventually fusion. I made a proposal about a year-and-a-half ago that one of the best things the U.S. could do, for poor countries like Haiti [where I am from], is to help them transition from charcoal power to fusion power. That would be the type of foreign policy that would win friends all over the world. We should collaborate with China, with Russia, with India, with all the nations of the world, because with two trillion galaxies, there is no reason to fight over pieces of dirt here on Earth.

I’ll stop now, and I look forward to Dr. Frank’s comments.

**Jennings:** Okay. Good. Well, thank you, Joel. You provoked a lot of questions, which we’re going to try to answer. I want to remind everyone that if you are just joining us, this is a special webcast, sponsored by the Joel Dejean Independent campaign for U.S. Congress, here in the 38th District of Texas. I just happened to have a copy of the pamphlet that Joel was talking about. [shows pamphlet] which made history. This pamphlet went out at the United Nations in New York. We took it to Austin, Texas with us [when Joel filed his qualifying petition signatures].

I will say, we moved whole nations to challenge this image that Joel laid out about living in a little village within your limited means. That’s called colonialism. That’s what we fought the American Revolution against, and we certainly shouldn’t be enforcing it on anybody else, under a green umbrella, or whatever.

I’m very pleased to welcome our next guest, Dr. Neil Frank, who is a very esteemed meteorologist with a long history. During two decades in the mid ’80s throughout the ’90s and beyond, when you turned on the evening news, you would see Dr. Frank’s smiling face. He was the weatherman on KHOU News. Prior to that, he headed the National Hurricane Center in Miami, Florida, which is very important to us in Houston where we’re always looking over our shoulder for the next hurricane, particularly during hot summers, like what we’re enjoying just now.

But for all those credentials, Dr. Frank tells me he cannot get published in the *Houston Chronicle*. I wonder why that is! We certainly welcome him to our platform tonight.

Given what Joel had to say, what are your thoughts on the situation, Dr. Frank?

**Dr. Neil Frank:** I really appreciate the comments you, Joel, made. Let me pick up a little bit. You indicated that during that February 2021 freeze, power from the wind turbines was diminished. The reason is because there was no wind. When cold temperature settles over you from a cold front moving through, a big dome of cold air just sits right over you and there’s no wind. The same thing happens in the summertime. When you have this big ball of hot air sit right over you, and now you need air conditioning, there is no wind. The instability of wind is amazing.

I’m in the opinion that we shouldn’t even be talking about a trillion-dollar Green New Deal. What a tragedy, because the data shows that carbon dioxide is not a major factor in the Earth’s temperature. I’ll come back to that, but I want to start with a statement, here, that even skeptics believe that the Earth has warmed over the last 175 years. That’s true. There’s no question that it has warmed.

The question that can be debated is: “What is causing that warming?” The alarmists would have us believe that it’s carbon dioxide, generated by burning fossil fuels—driving your SUV, for example—and this carbon dioxide is what is causing the Earth to warm. Now, the skeptics say, “No, no, no, no. The data shows that carbon dioxide is not a major factor in the Earth’s temperature; that the Earth’s temperature changes because of natural cycles.”

So, I’d like to spend just a minute talking about natural cycles. The Earth’s temperature follows cycles. The longest cycle we’re aware of is the ice age. Ice ages last 100,000 years, separated by a 10,000-year warm period. And that’s where we are now. We’re right at the end of the 10,000-year warm period after the last ice age, and we’re headed toward another ice age that could be decades or centuries away. But I’m telling you, it’s going to occur. So, there is a 100,000-year cycle, long-term.

Looking at the 10,000-year warming period, there is another cycle, this one, of 1,000 years. Every 1,000 years, the temperature peaks, and that’s where we are right now. We’re in the peak of this 1,000-year cycle. A thousand years ago, the Earth was warmer than today. Greenland was farmed for 400 years. Two thousand years ago, during the Roman period, it was warmer than today. Three thousand years ago, warmer than today. Seven thousand, eight thousand years ago, the temperature was two, maybe three degrees centigrade warmer than today.

The point I’m trying to make, is that there are cycles. Then, if you go back the last couple hundred years, there’s an even shorter cycle. That would be a 60-year cycle. In other words, the Earth warms for 30 years, and then it cools for 30 years.

So, let’s go back 10,000 years. Every one of those peaks involved a warming like we are experiencing right now. We have a warming to that peak. So, we’ve had 10 warming periods over the last 10,000 years. That’s what I mean by a “natural cycle.” Now, the alarmist would like us to believe that man is causing all this, and that it is a problem. [TV and radio talk show personality] Mark Levin wrote an interesting book on the media. He has one chapter on forming public opinion, in which he says that particularly when the adventure trying to sell isn’t true, you can do three things: You can lie about it, you can omit certain critical information, or you can use propaganda. It’s interesting that he uses “climate change” as an example of propaganda.

Now, I want to go back to the omission, and get back to this carbon dioxide thing. When was the last time anyone emphasized to you that carbon dioxide was a minor, minor, minor gas. It’s not 10% of the atmosphere; it’s not 5% of the atmosphere; it’s not 4%; it’s not 0.4%; it’s 0.04% of the atmosphere, 400 parts per million.

Let’s put that in perspective. The football stadium at Dallas holds 100,000 people. If we assign a molecule of air to every one of those seats, there would be over 70,000 nitrogen seats; there’d be over 20,000 oxygen seats. Do you know how many seats there’d be for carbon dioxide? Forty! I don’t think 40 is going to control the atmosphere in a football game in Dallas. Nor do I believe that 0.04% of the atmosphere (400 ppm) is going to control the temperature of the globe. And yet that’s what we’re being told.

Furthermore, there’s a very poor correlation between carbon dioxide and the Earth’s temperature. Let’s go back 10,000 years. Remember, I told you that every 1,000 years there’s a peak in the temperature. If carbon dioxide was responsible for that, then we should see peaks in the carbon dioxide levels. They’re not there! There are no such peaks. So, we went through natural cycles. As a matter of fact, if you take a look at the last 10,000 years, what the alarmists are telling us, when the carbon dioxide increases, the temperature increases, therefore warming occurs. At the beginning of this 10,000-year period, the Earth was warm and the carbon dioxide levels were down around 240-250 ppm. Then, gradually, the carbon dioxide levels increased to the end of that 10,000-year period to 280-290 ppm. At the same time, the temperature *decreased*! The opposite of what you’re being told.

Now, let’s go on a shorter time-scale. Carbon dioxide started increasing right after World War II. Right at that time, the Earth was in a cold cycle. Remember, I told you that the Earth has a 60-year cycle, warm vs. cold. So, from 1940-1975, the Earth was in a cold cycle, while carbon dioxide was *increasing*! The opposite of what you’re being told. As a matter of fact, there was great concern among all the experts that we headed toward an ice age. As a matter of fact, they had an international meeting of all the experts in the world about it. They became so concerned that they sent President Richard Nixon a letter to start saving food, because this cold was going to destroy the agriculture.

And then, the Earth went into its warm cycle, at the same time that the carbon dioxide was increasing. So, in the ’80s and ’90s, there is one 30-year period where there is excellent correlation between the two—atmospheric carbon dioxide and temperature.

But then in 1998, the Earth went into its cold cycle, the temperature just plateaued off, while the carbon dioxide continued to increase.

Now, the point I’m trying to make to you, is that over the last 10,000 years, there’s only been one 30-year period—in the ’80s and the ’90s—when there was excellent correlation between carbon dioxide and the Earth’s temperature. All the alarmists’ statements were generated during this period of time, and those alarmist statements still carry on today. *It’s amazing!*

Let me make one more point about carbon dioxide. When was the last time you heard anything *positive* about carbon dioxide? Plants love carbon dioxide. As a matter of fact, if plants don’t get carbon dioxide, they all die. If the carbon dioxide levels drop below 180 ppm (18 seats in our Dallas stadium), all plants die and all human beings are going to die.

In the last 10,000 years, the carbon dioxide levels have been *barely above* that level—in the 200 ppm range. That’s kind of scary. At times, the carbon dioxide level would drop down to that point. So, this is pretty scary.

So, what is the *optimum level* of carbon dioxide, as far as plants and as far as human life is concerned? Nobody can give me an answer to that. But I’ll tell you what. People who run nurseries have an answer. For the last several decades, in nurseries that can control their environment, they pump the carbon dioxide level up to 1200 ppm. That would be 120 seats in our stadium.

Now, you don’t ever hear anything about that. Satellite photos would show that in the last 30 years, there has been a greening of the planet—some 20-30%. We need to get this information out to people.

One final point that I’d like to make. The alarmists would like for us to believe that *we* are the ones responsible for all of the problems. They zero in on five meteorological parameters, and say all these parameters are increasing in frequency and increasing in intensity. Let’s go down through the list.

1. “We’re seeing increasing drought.”

No, no, no we’re not. The government has been keeping track of droughts since 1919. There has been a gradual decrease in the number of droughts. *Nothing* today compares to what happened in the dust bowls of the ’30s.

2. “How about wildfires? We’re seeing an increase in wildfires.”

No, if you take a look at government-published data from 1926, it shows the acreage that is burned by wildfires. I’m tell you that before World War II, it was bad. It was bad in World War II. Then in the late ’50s and ’60s, there was an immediate downturn. I asked some foresters, “What happened?” They told me, “Well, we introduced some very effective programs that saved the forests. But in the ’90s, the environmentalists got involved and made us stop using some of those programs and there has been an increase in damage caused by wildfires.

3. “How about the sea level? By the year 2100, New York City, Miami Beach, Galveston will all be under water.”

We’ve been tracking the sea level rise since 1855. The sea level is rising at the rate of 8” per century. Let me emphasize that. Eight inches per century. Eight inches is not going to flood New York City.

4. “How about that the Earth is the warmest it’s ever been?”

I saw an article a year ago that said “This decade was the warmest temperature that has ever been measured on the Earth, and furthermore, this is the second warmest decade on record.” Now, what they don’t emphasize to you, is that the records only go back to 1880, and that “measured” means it was measured by a thermometer. I have no reason why they began this record-keeping in 1880. If you take a look at the distribution of weather stations in 1880, it is frightening. So, what was the temperature then. Well, we really don’t know. And so, the temperature record, as determined by thermometers, is pretty shaky.

But, as I’ve already told you, let’s go back 10,000 years. Every 1,000 years, the temperature has been warmer than today. They have *lied* to you.

5. “Well, how about storms?”

Do you know that if you define a tornado as a violent one, with 160 mph winds—and they’ve been tracking these since 1950—there has been a substantial *decrease* in the number of storms. In 2018, there were zero.

6. “Oh, how about hurricanes?”

Worldwide, we have hurricane type storms. In the Pacific, they call them typhoons; in Australia they call them cyclones. There are about 47 of these, every year. There’s been a 5-10% *decrease* since 1980. We’ve had good satellite pictures over the last 40 years. So, we feel fairly confident about this statistic. There’s been a *decrease* in the hurricane-type storms over the last 40 years. They’ve *lied* to you. There’s no question about it.

So, what’s *driving* this whole thing? Well, let me read you a statement, here, by Maurice Strong. He is a millionaire out of Canada. He says:

“Isn’t it the only hope for this planet that the industrialized civilizations collapse? And isn’t it our responsibility to bring that about?”

And then, one of the senior officials at the United Nations, a German, said “We re-distribute wealth by climate policy.”

We’ve got to get over the notion that climate policy is an environmental issue. I believe that the real push by this is an international effort to bring about a Marxist one-world government. I appreciate the stand that you’re taking.

**Dejean:** Thank you, Dr. Frank.

**Jennings:** Yes. Thank you, Dr. Frank. Once again, if you’re just coming in a little late, you’ve jumped into a very interesting webcast with meteorologist, Dr. Neil Frank, whom you just heard, and our candidate for U.S. Congress, Joel Dejean. I’m sure not everyone agrees with everything you have heard here. A simple disclaimer: just because someone says something, it doesn’t necessarily mean that represents the Campaign, but certainly if it comes from Joel Dejean, he’s the candidate, and everything that comes out of his mouth does represent the sentiment of the Campaign.

That said, I really want people to get your questions out, because this is a very, very controversial and complex topic. So, if you’re on the Facebook Livestream, you can enter something in the Chat, and that will be texted to me; or, you can email [JoelDejeanforCongress@gmail.com](mailto:JoelDejeanforCongress@gmail.com).

We already have our first question. I’ll submit a couple of them right now to you, Joel, and maybe you can incorporate them into your wrap-up, and then we’ll open for a general Question & Answer period. There are several questions, here, about nuclear power.

Elizabeth is asking, “How many years does it take to build a nuclear power plant in the U.S., South Africa, Egypt, China?” Well, I guess the question really is, “Why not go nuclear?” Is there anything against? Is there anything prohibiting the further exploitation of nuclear energy?

Dianne is asking, “Why have we not been able to use nuclear energy? Who is stopping that, and why?

More broadly, Mason is asking, “If climate change isn’t an area of concern for you, then which environmental issues are? And, why don’t we combine all of our efforts on fixing those, instead? Are you willing to be wrong?” So, there’s come contention there. That’s what makes it interesting.

So, Joel, why don’t you think back on what Dr. Frank just told us, and maybe think of these questions? Kind of make a summation and say where we go from here. Joel?

**Dejean:** First off, I want to thank Dr. Frank. And now I see why the Houston Chronical refuses to publish any of your opinions [laughs] because they don’t want the truth getting out.

**Dr. Frank:** That’s right.

**Dejean:** They want to control the narrative. Now, as far as my environmental concerns. I do have concerns. The worst pollution is poverty. All you have to do is take a trip to a Third World nation—and I wouldn’t advise travelling to Port au Prince, Haiti right now. But when things calm down, the worst pollution is when you have no sanitation, when you have no water treatment plants, when you have total poverty, where people live in their squalor. That is the worst form environmental degradation.

**Dr. Frank:** [Nods in agreement.]

**Dejean:** And the same people who are against using fossil fuels, are against using nuclear power. Look at what’s happened to Germany. Here are the Germans, who were [until Dec. 8 when Olaf Scholz was elected and sworn in] supposed led by a physicist, Chancellor Angela Merkel. I don’t know what Cracker Jack box she got here physics degree from. The Fukushima accident, which was hit by a 9.0 earthquake was caused a tsunami that killed 20,000 people in Japan. To date, nobody has died of nuclear radiation from that accident.

Merkel, however, used that accident to push for shutting down the nuclear plants in Germany. At the same time, Germany shut down their coal plants. And now that they can’t get natural gas, they’re forced to re-open their coal plants, with the destruction of the German industrial base.

Here in this country, in the ’70s, we built nuclear plants at the rate of dozens a year. Normally, it could take 4-5 years to build a 1-1.2GW major pressurized water reactor. If we go to small modular reactors, in the 100-300 MW range, we could mass-produce these in factories and deploy them as needed. We could have a renaissance in nuclear energy in the coming period.

Eventually, we need to go to thermonuclear fusion, because they would be the perfect way to travel across the solar system, that is with nuclear fusion powered rockets.

There’s no good reason why we slowed down nuclear production in this country. It was the environmentalists. I remember my senior year at Stony Brook University in New York State. We had the movie, *The China Syndrome,* starring Jane Fonda, that great nuclear physicist, and Jack Levin, the so-called scientist who warned about the core of the reactor melting down, that came out a few months before the Three Mile Island accident.

So, the scare stories of the news media and Hollywood is why we stopped building nuclear plants. If people are educated, then we and turn them back on, on a dime.

**Jennings:** In a second, I’m going to turn it back to Dr. Frank, but I want you, Mr. Dejean to say something about your former career as an electrical engineer. Isn’t that true? You had a certain expertise on atmospheric CO2 vs. water vapor, and all that.

**Dejean:** The reason why I am in Texas, is because when I graduated in 1980, I came in April for an interview with the Dallas Defense Electronics Plant of Texas Instruments. It was 92° out, and I loved it. So, I engineered my own climate change by moving from New York to Texas. The first summer I was here, from June to August 1980, we had *60 days* of over 100° Fahrenheit temperature. So, people have very short-term memories.

**Dr. Frank:** That’s right.

**Dejean:** I want to pose the following to Dr. Frank. I don’t know if you’ve noticed the use of this RealFeel Temperature.[[2]](#footnote-2) I’m convinced that a lot of these modern-day news shows emphasize this RealFeel Temperature, because if you say the Feel Temperature is 105 and the air temperature is 95, people will remember, “Oh, it’s over 100°.” So, it’s part of this psychological warfare to get people to think that the world is heating up.

**Dr. Frank:** That’s right.

**Jennings:** Do you have anything to say about that, Dr. Frank?

**Dr. Frank:** I don’t like the Feel Temperature. If it’s 95°, I don’t have to tell you that when you go outside, it’s hot. People who live in Houston don’t have to be told, “Oh, it feels like 105,” when it’s only 95. No, I don’t like that term at all.

**Jennings:** Joel is quite an accomplished scholar. He attended the prestigious Bronx High School of Science and graduated from the New York State University at Stony Brook. Tell us the story of the planned field trip to the Shoreham Nuclear Power Plant on Long Island. What happened?

**Dejean:** In my final semester at Stony Brook, I was part of the on-campus chapter of the IEEE, the Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers, a professional association for electronic engineering and electrical engineering, that sets the standards for the internet and electrical amperages, and things like that. In April 1980, we went over to the plant, just a few miles from the Stony Brook campus.

At that time, the plant was 95% completed. They were about to turn it on. They had spent $5. At the end of that year, New York Governor (1983-1994) Mario Cuomo, the father of New York Governor (2011-2021) Andrew Cuomo brought up a requirement that the local lighting company, LILCO (Long Island Lighting Company), had to have an evacuation plan to get two million people off of Long Island in a matter of hours, if there was an accident. Which was impossible.

So, that plant was *never completed*. It was destroyed. The reactor vessel was destroyed. They spent $5 billion and the state of New York bought that plant from LILCO for only a few dollars because they bankrupted the company.

That is why we haven’t built more nuclear plants. Andrew Cuomo and New York’s current Governor (2021-present) Kathleen Hochul just shut down the last of Indian Point’s three nuclear reactors in Buchanan, April 30, 2021. It had provided 25% of the electricity to New York City.

That’s the type of craziness that has sabotaged our nuclear industry.

**Jennings:** You mentioned the Fukushima incident, in which a huge (15-meter) tsunami wave swept over that island of Japan, killing 20,000 people. The alarmist coverage in the media would have it that those deaths were because of the nuclear plant, which was absolutely not true.

As a result of the psychological hysteria generated out of that incident, plans for two additional units that were scheduled to be brought on line at the South Texas Nuclear Generating Station near Bay City, here in Texas, were scrapped. I talked with some of the personnel that worked there. The blueprints were already done. It was all ready to go.

I want to refer back for a moment to this wonderful pamphlet, mentioned earlier, “The Great Leap Backward: LaRouche Crushes the ‘Green New Deal’ Fraud,” which we can get to you, if you wish. It goes through some of the statistics. On page 24, for example, is discussed how much land area is required to produce 8,000 GW of power. It makes a comparison. 8,000 GW of power produced by a nuclear plant would require the equivalent land area of the Boroughs of Bronx and Queens, New York. Solar panels would require the area of the U.S. west of the Mississippi River, and for an equivalent with wind turbines—assuming the wind is blowing, I suppose—you’re talking about the entirety of North America, without Alaska and Canada.

So, I guess there’d be no room for the people, then. And that is, ultimately, the consequence of the “zero carbon” policies. Lyndon LaRouche talked about this decades ago; that if you reduce the energy throughput to below the level for the sustenance of human life, then you will see all sorts of consequences, such as disease, famine, and depopulation.

Just yesterday, I read, in the case of what had been the second poorest nation in the Western Hemisphere, that is, Guyana, a former British colony and member of the British Commonwealth since 1970. They have a new Prime Minister, Mark Phillips. They’ve discovered some oil, which they eager to exploit to improve their own existence. I’ll be darned if U.S. Secretary of State Antony Blinken got Phillips on the phone and wagged his finger at him, saying that Phillips would have to be concerned about Guyana’s carbon footprint. Guyana? Really?

I think Phillips may have smiled a little bit, because the world is moving ahead with nuclear energy. Egypt just broke ground on a beautiful new nuclear plant. Also, Turkey. Both of these plants are being done with Russian assistance. China is working on modular reactors.

One of the points that we have made in this other pamphlet we have published, “Stop Global Britain’s Green War Drive,”[[3]](#footnote-3) is that if the U.S. is going to insist upon Green policies applied to the rest of the world that is yearning for and craving its own development, and the U.S. chooses to enforce the zero carbon, zero growth Green agenda, that is a formula that could actually take the world toward thermonuclear war. And, yes indeed, you would see some very abrupt changes in the climate, if it comes to that.

So, we’re not going to let that happen. Joel’s campaign is a very important component in bringing our nation back to sanity.

Let’s see what other questions we have, here. This one is from Betty, who asks “Why are we banning nitrogen-based fertilizer, when nitrogen is a major part of our atmosphere?” And, another question: “Who is responsible for all the lying? Why do Americans swallow these lies, whole?” I’ll leave it for either of you to pick up. Dr. Frank, do you want to start?

**Dr. Frank:** Well, the people who are making the lies are basing them on certain numerical models and the predictions of those models. But every test of these numerical models—and I’m talking about global models that have failed—forecast a condition that will be one to one and a half a degree too warm for a 50-year forecast. All the statements they made are based on these models, not based on the fact.

Dejean: I remember my first computer class with Fortran[[4]](#footnote-4) A lot of people have heard this phrase: “Garbage in, garbage out.” That’s what they’re doing with these climate models.

**Dr Frank:** That’s right.

**Dejean:** In 1918, a German by the name of Fritz Haber, who was a friend of Albert Einstein, received the Nobel Prize in Chemistry for developing a system whereby methane, a natural gas, could be used to crack the nitrogen gas in the atmosphere. The problem with the nitrogen in the atmosphere is that it is a molecule N2, which cannot be used by most plants. Some bacteria and some other organisms can break it down.

The Haber-Bosch method synthesized ammonia, the artificial fertilizer industry. And that is why we have 8 billion people on the planet today, because we can produce fertilizer. The environmentalists want us to go back to manure. So, you can actually say, “These environmentalists are fluent in crap.” Their intent is to reduce the population. That’s why they want to cut down on the use of nitrogen fertilizer.

**Jennings:** A question has come in from Tony in Chicago. He says, “To fight droughts in especially West Texas, would building many nuclear desalination plants help solve the problem? Could building the North American Water and Power Alliance (NAWAPA) water project be accelerated to also help? How can we pay for this? What else could be done?”

For those of you who don’t live in Texas, but who like to eat a steak or hamburger every once in a while, it is really quite vicious. The drought in central Texas has the ranchers there lined up for miles and miles, taking their cattle to slaughter before full maturity, because they can’t feed them. Hay is out of their reach. You begin to think its deliberate.

So, Tony’s asking about the drought. What can we do in the short term? What can we do in the medium- and long-term, Joel?

**Dejean:** On the beef. It’s interesting. The same people who want to shut down the fossil fuel industry, want to *eliminate* beef. They want to *eliminate* animal protein. They want you to live on soy burgers. This is part of this environmentalism, and it it’s not just world government project. They *kill* people. And the way to eliminate people is by shutting down food production. They want to shut down cattle production in Texas.

As for water. We need to build that North American Water and Power Alliance, which was on the books 60 years ago. President John F. Kennedy was talking about it, Robert F. Kennedy was talking about it, Frank Moss, the Senator from Utah, was pushing it. But it was all shut down after the Kennedy assassinations.

So, again, the same people who don’t want to use nuclear, don’t want to use fossil fuels, also don’t want you to you to eat beef. They don’t want you to have water. They want to depopulate the West and say, “See, this is a desert. Let Nature take its course.” Well, that’s why God gave us a mind, to understand universal principles so we can develop Nature like a garden, instead of being a bunch of ridiculous apes who have to idea how to do anything.

So, yes, we can deal with the water problem. It’s going to take an effort to get all of the billions of dollars we’ve wasted on wind turbines.

**Dr. Frank:** That’s it.

**Dejean:** On solar panels. On financial derivatives. We have plenty of money. We have plenty of money to keep a war going in Central Europe. But we need to redirect those credits and those funds into productive activity like water projects and nuclear projects.

**Jennings:** I just began to take a preliminary look at the cost of these wind turbines. One of my naïve friends says, “Oh, wind power is so wonderful, because air is free. Sunlight is free.” [laughter] Right? What I’ve pieced together is that each of these wind turbines cost about $3 million, or thereabouts. And then there’s the engineering, the erection, the maintenance—which is substantial. The life of these ugly, spidery things is maybe 3 years, at which point they have to be replaced.

Does that sound “free” to you? I don’t know how many wind turbines are supposed to be out there in the Gulf, in a space bigger than the size of Houston, but I would bet it’s going to be in the thousands. Do the arithmetic. How many people could you feed, how many nuclear power plants could you build with that money? *This is a crime!*

I am helping Joel coordinate his campaign. We are going to make this resonate in this campaign. This cannot be allowed to happen. The diversion of federal money into wars, private bank bailouts, and regressive 14th Century technologies? Nuh-a! No! We’re not going to have Houston become the paradigm for that.

Some of you may have questions about the North American Water and Power Alliance. I’m going to go back to the same pamphlet I was showing you before. [Shows page 52 of the “Great Leap Backward” pamphlet, showing a map of the expanded NAWAPA concept.] This was a project when we had a far-reaching vision of the future, back in the ’50s and ’60s. A lot of rainwater falls when the prevailing westerlies coming across the Pacific Ocean bump into the Rocky Mountains up there around Seattle and Vancouver, and upward toward Alaska. NAWAPA would takes about 10% of the runoff which otherwise flows into the Pacific and send it southward through Canada and the U.S. via a series of canals and aqueducts, replenishing the river systems and aquifers.

You can see from the map that NAWAPA would take a substantial amount of water all the way down to fertile but dry Sonora, Mexico. A lot of the forest fires in California that Dr. Frank talked about probably would not have happened had this abundant new source of fresh water been made available.

But there was a paradigm shift after President Kennedy was assassinated. “Sha, la, la, la, live for today, and don’t worry ’bout tomorrow,” as the popular rock song goes: Don’t think about the future. Well, we *have* to start thinking about the future. Joel, what’s your campaign slogan?

**Dejean:** “A Positive Vision for the Future.”

**Jennings:** Right! [laughs] Dr. Frank, do you have anything to say about Tony’s question on desalination, or the drought itself?

**Dr. Frank:** Well, we’re not going to control this big, massive areas of hot air. Nature does that, and we can’t control Nature. But we *can* do things like this water project. Pump water around. I have no problem with nuclear power.

**Jennings:** Of course, as you bring in the water, that water transpires. It evaporates. It comes back down.

**Dr. Frank:** That’s right.

**Jennings:** There’s been limited applications in Israel and in the United Arab Emirates, and so forth, where they have literally, positively transformed the environment. So, it is possible to improve the environment. It is possible and necessary. That is the prerogative of us as human beings. Going all the way back to the book of Genesis, “Have dominion over Nature.” Improve *upon* Nature.

**Dr. Frank:** That’s right.

**Jennings:** We have working with us some farmers, for this is their sacred cause for existence. And yet, these farmers are being told that *they’re* the bad guys because they’re using fertilizer and other activities. Those of you who like to eat, need to join this campaign.

Let me see if I have any more questions, here. It’s Mason, again. He says, “Fake news spreads six times faster than true news.” Maybe it does, but there’s also something called “reality,” which is beginning to hit right now.

Another question: “Are we in a recession, or are we not?” President Biden’s out there saying, “No, the numbers look good; we’re not in a recession; things are really not as bad as they look.” But, of course, if you’ve lost your job, you can’t afford to gas up your car, the kids have lost their jobs and have moved back in with mon and pop, well, it’s a recession because you’re living it.

I don’t have any more questions right now. I will put it out there, again, that we have meteorologist Dr. Neil Frank and U.S. Congressional candidate Joel Dejean for a few more minutes. This is your chance. We intend to put Joel Dejean in the Congress, as an Independent in this brand-new District. That has been an extreme challenge for those of us who petitioned to get Joel on the ballot. We got all kinds of pragmatic push-back. “Independents never win. Why are you doing this?”

I can talk about my 35-year relationship with Lyndon LaRouche, who ran for President 8 times, actually getting on nationwide TV the night before Jimmy Carter dubiously won the 1976 election, in what you would call the first really Green Democrat, and forecast a lot of what we saw. You have to fight for the Truth, no matter what.

Joel, let me put it to you, again, profoundly: Why are you entering this race? What do you expect to accomplish? And what do you expect of the people out here to do?

**Dejean:** Lies are easy to spread, but it’s the truth that will set you free. I have to use this campaign to educate people to use their God-given minds. When God said “Have dominion over the Earth,” that includes the rest of the solar system, the galaxy, and the whole universe. If you look at mankind’s history, it’s always been a handful of individuals who created the great Renaissance; a handful of individuals who created the nuclear industry. Admiral Hyman Rickover created the nuclear navy, and the nuclear navy created the commercial nuclear industry. Had we continued to use that model, we would have had a commercial thermonuclear fusion industry.

Reality has a way of catching up with the wise. If we don’t deal with reality, then I’m afraid we will have something that will solve the imagined global warming, hat Joe mentioned earlier. If we have a nuclear war, we won’t have to worry about global warming, because we will have a new ice age, a global winter, created almost immediately.

So, if we don’t change what we’re doing, we’re headed toward disaster: hyperinflation, depression, and war. But if people know there’s an alternative, they’ll choose the alternative. They did it with Abraham Lincoln, they did it with President Franklin Roosevelt, and they’ll have to do it again. With my campaign and that of Diane Sare in New York State.

**Jennings:** I’ll mention Diane Sare. Joel is part of a team. This is the one-two punch. I’m proud to say I was part of a super-human effort that garnered more than 62,000 signatures of voters, way above and beyond the 45,000 required number, to place her name on the ballot. We did it.

Her independent voice will be a very resonant force for the good, as we’re heading into a terminal crisis in the financial system. The Federal Reserve is jacking up the Federal Funds interest rates again this week. Do people remember President Jimmy Carter’s “War on Inflation?” Interest rates then went up to 22%. The Savings & Loans were wiped out. That’s when the farmers rolled into Washington. We lost thousands of farms during that period.

“There’s gotta be more in the recipe book,” as one might say, “than constipation vs. diarrhea of the money supply,” because the real economy is not the money. It’s the farmers; it’s the producers. That’s what’s under assault right now with this climate hysteria. Here in Houston, the media is beating the drum that we should feel ashamed of Houston’s history as the energy hub in Texas.

We’re going to come back to Joel for one final comment. Meanwhile, I’ll check for further questions. You still have a chance to send in your questions.

Dr. Frank, you have the advantage of having a few more years on us. You told me as a little kid that you actually witnessed some of those dust bowls up there in Oklahoma or Kansas, and that somehow, through human intervention—planting of trees, irrigation projects, and so forth—we moved beyond that, and I think that’s what we’re called upon to do now. What do you think?

**Dr. Frank:** I was a pre-teen in the ’30s, when we had the dust bowl. I can remember how bitter and how bad it was. There was dirt just everywhere. It was unreal. But that was caused by a weather event. A big dome of hot air that didn’t move. There were some problems. We had dug up a lot of the grasslands and things like that. I understand all that. But still, the main impact was the result of a huge dome of hot air. We’ve seen nothing like that in recent years.

Jennings: I’ll check for question just one last time, and then I’ll get back to you, Dr. Frank. Out there in internet land, it’s now your chance. Remember what Dr. Frank said? Point zero four percent (0.04%) of the atmosphere. That’s the amount of carbon dioxide that’s hovering around with tiny fluctuations. And yet, it is the essence of what nourishes plant life. Plants take that carbon dioxide out of the air, they mix it with water and sunshine, and that creates the carbohydrates that are the essence for life, and growth on planet Earth.

But it is this life-giving 0.04%, that people such as central banker Mark Carney and Sir Michael Bloomberg, and World Economic Forum founder Klaus Schwab, and others of the oligarchy want bury, through sequestration. They’ve already got a very substantial derivatives market in carbon futures, carbon offsets, carbon credits, carbon debits, and so forth.

I read in the *Houston Chronicle* today, talking about this proposed giant wind turbine project in the Gulf, that those who take on the risk of its development, are all going to have to take out *insurance* policies. You get it? It’s not about delivering energy to a population that needs it dependably and reliably. It’s a *money-game* to loot the productive portion of the economy to feed the parasites in private finance.

As I said earlier, the world is moving on. They’re catching on to the game, and this is one of the reasons why there is this hysteria about China and Russia, and the BRICS association of Brazil, Russia, India, China, and South Africa, all of which are figuring out different ways of financing their own development, independent of this dollar empire, which is falling down like Humpty Dumpty.

We all here in Houston remember Enron Corporation. One day they were geniuses, and the next day jerks. That’s what’s going to happen with these Davos-types[[5]](#footnote-5), very soon. But it requires the leadership of people like Joel and Diane to set the record straight.

A final question. I don’t know whether anyone can answer this, because none of us has the inside knowledge, but Dianne is asking about the people at NASA, who should know better. When you look at the images being provided by the James Webb Space Telescope from a time billions of years ago, what do you see out there? Climate change. Something that’s been going on for a long time. She asks, “Why do so many people at the National Aeronautics and Space Administration believe in the carbon hoax? Is it true, or are they just ‘going along to get along?’”

**Dejean:** I don’t believe most of them believe it’s true. It’s just that decisions are made at the top, by lawyers, accountants, political science majors, journalism professors, who like I said don’t know the difference between a kilowatt and a kilojoule. They’re the ones setting the policy.

We used to have great leadership. John F. Kennedy was not an aerospace engineer. He was not an astronaut. There were no astronauts around at that time! [laughter] But he provided political leadership, and science is political. There is a dome of hot air now, but it’s not over center part of the United States; it’s over Washington.

**Dr. Frank:** That’s right.

**Dejean:** President Biden is partially right, when he says, “We’re not in a recession.” We are in fact in a depression! And we’re about to a blowout. There is an alternative. We know what to do. I’ll give you one example. The James Webb Space Telescope was almost cancelled four years ago. When satellites are sent into space, they have to undergo what’s called a “shake and bake,” in a vibration chamber where things ae shaken to simulate the launch, and then it’s into an environmental chamber, where things are exposed to the temperatures they would encounter in deep space.

Well, when the Webb underwent the “shake” part, some screws were too loose on the Sun Shield and they fell out. NASA appointed a seasoned engineer named Gregory L. Robinson, who didn’t want the job, but took it anyway. He coordinated and made sure that all the specifications were followed by all the contractors. You can imagine a conductor facing an orchestra. He conducted the refurbishing of that space telescope. And that is why it deployed flawlessly. Because of a NASA individual who happened to be an engineer, educated and trained at Howard University. This black engineer is the Director for the James Webb Space Telescope Program in the NASA Science Mission Directorate. There are great people at NASA. They just need some political backup, to steer them in the right direction.

**Dr. Frank:** One of the senior people over at the Environmental Protection Agency was a believer in global warming, until somebody challenged him to look at the data, which he did. He looked at the data so hard that he wrote his own book. I’ve got over 30 books that were written by skeptics, many of ’em just like him. So, he became a skeptic.

One day, President Obama sent a letter down to his boss, and said “I want you to write up a speech for me that emphasizes global warming.” So, he went to this gentleman, and asked him to write that speech. He replied, “Look at the data. Look what the data says.” The boss then said, “If you mess this up, I’ll fire you.”

That’s the problem internally in the government. You don’t want to cross any of these people who are pushing you on the global warming.

**Jennings:** Someone just sent in a quote in a comment in the text box, “Where there is no vision, the people parish.”

**Dr. Frank:** Right!

**Jennings:** Also, in 1*Corinthians* 14:8: “For if the trumpet makes an uncertain sound, who shall prepare himself for battle? [New King James Version] Joel Dejean is dedicated to *be* that “certain trumpet” in this campaign. And we don’t have much time, I’m asking everyone out there: If you were tongue-tied tonight on the questions, you can send them in afterword to [JoelDejeanforCongress.@gmail.com](mailto:JoelDejeanforCongress.@gmail.com). We not only need your suggestions and questions. We really need help in opening doors for Joel in the 38th District—to speak in front of your little church group or your Kiwanis Club or in your front yard or back yard, wherever. We have to find the means to get Joel’s message out.

My name is Joe Jennings. We also need contributions. Look for the DONATE link on the website, JoelDejeanforCongress.com. Among many other topics, you will also find there the record of substantial work that Joel has done on the issue fusion energy. He was part of something called the Fusion Energy Foundation, which at its peak in 1987 had a subscription and regular readership of 250,000 of its monthly magazine, *Fusion.* The foundation, and its magazine, were shut down, on orders of the government, to silence the ideas it represented.

That takes us back to the viciousness going on at NASA. Look at all the great scientists who had to fight for the truth. Antoine Lavoisier, Johannes Kepler, and so forth. They were all swimming against the tide, but they were inspired by a higher vision of man’s place in the universe, as its co-creator. That is our responsibility today.

Dr. Frank, if you have some last words for us at this point, we would be glad to hear them. No? Well, the same goes for Joel: what would you like to say to your potential voters out there in the 38th District as closing remarks.

**Dejean:** The future is up to you. Dr. Frank, I want to thank you for participating. I’ll consider you a national treasure. You give me hope that we *can* find enough people who will speak the truth, no matter what the *Houston Chronicle* says.

**Jennings:** There you go! We hope that there will be more forums like this, on broader aspects of the issues we face. I don’t think the hyperinflation, and the many other serious problems we are being lied to about are going to just go away. On the contrary, they’re going to expand and accelerate. People like you will therefore be looking around for answers, so keep an eye on your email inbox. We’ll be announcing our next webcast soon.

I concur 100% with Joel and Dr. Frank. Our hands and hearts go out to you in solidarity. Joel: Godspeed with your campaign as it moves forward. Everyone out there: we welcome and thank you for participating in this webcast this evening.

With that, I wish you all a very good evening. Thank you very much.
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